

Assessment of Alternative Rural Energy Sources and Technologies in South-Eastern Oromia Region, Ethiopia

Ta. Rat / e0iso 1 halla2

Socioeconomic Research & Directorate- %ro . ia Agricultural Research Institute
 P.O. Box 5 - 4sella Agricultural Research Center- 4sella

4yalew 6e7ele

Agricultural Engineering Research & Directorate- %ro . ia Agricultural Research Institute
 P.O. Box 5 - 4sella Agricultural Research Center- 4sella

l0sa &awud

Socioeconomic Research & Directorate- %ro . ia Agricultural Research Institute
 P.O. Box 5 - 4sella Agricultural Research Center- 4sella

Abstract

A majority of world population (2/3 of total world) is living in rural area but consumes only about 3% of global commercial energy. In rural developing nations the energy sources for cooking and lighting are traditional sources with no relevance in rural areas. Specifically in Ethiopia literatures indicated that more than 40% of the energy sources are from traditional sources. Poverty-lack of availability of modern energy and lack of education are the main causes of this phenomenon. Widespread use of biomass fuel with inefficient stoves caused scarcity of biomass resources in Ethiopia. Moreover in the study areas namely Arsi and West Arsi biomass energy sources and other energy sources and technologies under utilization were not characterized for research and development intervention. Therefore this research proposal was initiated with objectives of characterizing energy resources and technologies under utilization and related constraints in the study areas. Four districts from Arsi and three districts from West Arsi zones were selected and data was collected through household and household level survey. The result revealed that majority of rural households are using biomass fuel (wood and animal dung and crop residues) especially for cooking purposes and there is no use of solar cells for lighting purposes. The biogas plant use was under demonstration stages and it was also constrained with high capital investment and its accessory technology (inherently lack of energy plants like yathropha is also underway in lowlands of Arsi zone).

Keywords: Rural energy- biomass fuel- ure energy- improved cooking stoves- Arsi zone

DOI: 10.5539/jetp.v2n2.1234

Introduction

More than half of world population- which is about three billion- has no access to modern sources of energy. These people are poor and their predominant energy sources are traditional biomass (wood and charcoal). Access to efficient and modern energy is extremely crucial for the developing nations to counter the economic and health issues and at the same time with the productive use of energy increase the economic growth and life standard of the poor people. Developing countries have 2/3 of the world's population but consume only 3% of global commercial energy (Eric Martinot et al. 2002). A well performing energy system can provide these people with income generating opportunities as well as to escape the poverty traps of poverty. Unfortunately this has not been made possible due to financial issues- lack of resources- ineffective energy policies and energy systems in the developing nations.

Dependency of the people on traditional energy for catering their cooking and lighting energy demands in the developing nations still remains especially in rural areas. Poverty-lack of availability of modern energy and lack of education are the main causes of this phenomenon. Widespread use of biomass for meeting the demands also brings the scarcity of these resources like fuel wood. Moreover due to gradual increase in awareness of the people and for electrification in Ethiopia is increasing to around 2% per annum. (Salem T. 2003).

Ethiopia's energy consumption as one of the developing countries is predominantly based on biomass energy sources. Annually, about 40% of the country's energy demand is met by traditional energy sources such as fuel wood- charcoal- branches- dung cakes and agricultural residues and nearly 2% of the population of Ethiopia has an access to grid electricity. The balance is met by commercial energy sources such as electricity and petroleum. The most important issue in the energy sector is the supply of household fuels- which is associated with excessive deforestation and the resultant land degradation. The increasing scarcity of fuel wood is compounded by Ethiopia's high population growth rate.

Another detrimental side of utilizing energy in inefficient way is higher consumption of energy than usually required with disastrous health effects due to smoke. Smith et al., 2004 in their study indicated that fuel wood- roots- agricultural residues and animal dung are all producing high emissions of carbon monoxide- hydrocarbons

and articulate. After access to modern and clean energy like electricity and efficient cooking technologies to the rural areas in developing world not only provide a more and healthy life style but would also help in reducing harmful environmental effects. Efforts on the all levels are required to counter this situation with effective projects and policies on government level as well as awareness of the uneducated masses in the rural developing world. In general this activity is initiated to conduct a situation analysis for characterizing the sources of energy and technologies used in rural areas for research and extension intervention.

The main objective of this study was to assess and analyze the existing energy resources in the area while the specific objectives of the study were to characterize and analyze the existing energy resources- to identify and prioritize challenges and constraints of the energy resources- to identify and prioritize potential opportunities of the energy resources and to identify and prioritize potential research intervention areas to connecting the existing opportunities of the energy resource of the study area

Methodology

Description of the study areas

The research was carried out in south-east areas of Morogoro regional state. This area of the region is one of the most productive clusters of the region. Two zones namely Kisi and Wami were selected purposely based on accessibility. Each zone was clustered into three based on agro-ecologies as lowland, midland and highland and sample districts were selected from each cluster.

Kisi zone is divided into twenty-five administrative districts and one administrative town (Kisi). It is located in 3°N 33°E (33.3, 33.3), 2.23: 22.3 DT 8 coordinates. The zone has four agro-climatic zones and altitude is the main source of difference. These diverse agro-climatic conditions create wider opportunities of having different vegetation which are sources of biomass energy.

West Kisi zone is also divided into eleven administrative districts and one administrative town (Shashane) which is the capital town of the zone. West Kisi zone has land area of about 440,000 hectares or (2,372,100 acres) and is divided into four agro-ecological zones. Only agriculture is practiced in all highlands and midlands. According to data from national agricultural development office and discussion held with agriculture and rural development office especially highlands of some districts like Mdogo and Mdogo and lowlands have both pastoral and agro-pastoral farming systems.

Sample and Sampling Methods

In the basis of agro-ecology diversity, representative districts, peasant associations (PAs) and artisanal farmers were selected using systematic sampling technique. Then from the identified PAs, villages, representative farmers were randomly selected for group discussion and interviews using systematic sampling procedure. During sampling for focus group discussion and household level interview, age, sex, education, educational status, roles and responsibilities in the community were taken into consideration. A multi-disciplinary team was established to conduct the survey using different P34 tools.

Data types and methods of data collection and analysis methods

Both primary and secondary data were collected from different sources at different levels. Primary data were generated through focus group discussion, individual interviews and formal and informal discussions with farmers, PAs and extension workers. Focus group discussions, key informant interview and informal discussion were among the employed tools to collect primary data using checklist and semi-structured questionnaire.

Different P34 tools were employed to collect information on different aspects of existing biomass fuel resource of the study area including semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions and personal observations were employed to generate primary data pertaining to the existing biomass fuel resource in the study area. Focus group discussion was employed to get about the existing biomass fuel resource, realizing opportunities and constraints with key informants (farmers and community leaders). In general a total of 32 households were sampled from seven districts of which three were from west Kisi and the rest four were from Kisi zone. Around 40 percent and 60 percent of the respondents were from Kisi and west Kisi zone respectively. The collected data was analyzed using appropriate statistical tools to fulfill the objectives of the study. The quantitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics like mean, standard deviation, frequency and t-test using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) for analysis.

Result and Discussion

Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Respondents

Mean age of respondents was 42.5 years which within working age group. Around 60 percent of the households were female headed and the rest 40 percent were male headed. More than 90 percent of the respondents were married while only around 10 percent of the respondents were widowed. The overall average family size of the households was 5.2 persons per a household while the mean male family members and female family members

were 4.2\$ and 3.4 persons per household respectively (table 1).

Table 1: Demographic and Socioeconomic Variables of the Respondents

Variable	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Min.	Max.
Age	32,	40.2	11.5	22	58
Education	32,	4.1	3.4	1	12
Total male family	32,	4.2	2.2	1	14
Total female family	32,	3.4	2.2	1	14
Total family	32,	7.6	3.7	2	28
	N		Percent	Cumulative percent	
Male headed	33		100.0	100.0	
Female headed	0		0.0	0.0	
Married	324		100.0	100.0	
Single	0		0.0	0.0	
Widowed	4		1.2	1.2	

Source- own survey result- 2014

It was tried to balance the sample from each agro-ecological zones. Accordingly- 43.2% percent of the respondents were from highland areas while around 3

Table 4: Household facility holding of respondents in Arsi and W/Arsi zones

Facility type	Frequency	Percent
1. Access electricity	22	50.0%
2. Access telephone	22	50.0%

Major Energy Sources and Energy saving Technology Use patterns in Arsi and West Arsi

Gater- line and energy office is established at each zone and district level with the aim of promoting the livelihood and quality of life of rural households through the exploitation of forest and non-forest oriented biomass sector- 2! to aware and introduce biomass technologies to build capacity of disseminators through training and enhancing skill and knowledge of participants- 3! to develop a community-based biomass digester and dissemination of technologies- 4! to create access to grid electric light to rural community in collaboration with Ethiopian electric power corporation (EEP)!

All respondent households are using multiple sources of biomass and other energy sources. The household level survey result revealed that the most widely used biomass energy sources were round wood- animal dung- crop residues and branch leaf and twigs (61% and each of the were selected by "2- 4%"; - 4(3% and 3"3% of the respondents respectively. Around 33% of the respondents only use biomass energy sources while the rest are using from their own forest trees (mostly eucalyptus tree) far- crop residues and animal dung. Considerable households are using community forest (around 10% percent) for household energy sources. Majority of the households- more than 50% percent of the respondents- fetch biomass energy sources and wives and daughters (the female groups) are the major responsible family members to fetch firewood and other energy sources each accounting for 24% and 10% of total respondents (table 5).

Table 5: Energy Sources, technology use and household responsibility

No. Energy sources	Frequency	percent
1. Round wood	22	50.0%
2. Animal dung	11	25.0%
3. Crop residues	11	25.0%
4. Use forest as household energy sources	11	25.0%
5. Husband is responsible to fetch firewood	11	25.0%
6. Wife is responsible to fetch firewood	11	25.0%
7. Daughters are responsible to fetch firewood	11	25.0%
8. Sons responsible	11	25.0%
9. Access improved cooking stove	33	75.0%
10. Use 3-stone open cooking stove	22	50.0%
11. Use enclosed clay stove	4	9.1%
12. Access separate cooking room (kitchen)	22	50.0%
13. Use any technology that improve efficiency of biomass	11	25.0%

Source: own survey result- 2#(

Trend in use of biomass energy sources was assessed through household individual interview. Since the last five years wood (especially the round ones) was the most commonly and extensively used energy source that was ranked as first and followed by animal dung and crop residues with a count of 22; - 42% and 33% respectively (table 6).

Table 6: Energy use patterns across major agro-ecologies

	Highland	Mid-highland	Low-land	χ^2	Total
Firewood	33(100%) ^a	11(33.3%)	11(100%)	2.22	55(100%)
Charcoal	3(9.1%)	11(33.3%)	4(33.3%)	4.44	18(32.7%)
Animal dung	11(33.3%)	33(100%)	4(33.3%)	3.32	48(87.3%)
Liquid petroleum gas	2(6.1%)	33(100%)	4(33.3%)	3.32	39(70.4%)
Biomass	4(12.1%)	11(33.3%)	11(100%)	3	26(47.3%)
Crop residue	2(6.1%)	11(33.3%)	11(100%)	2.22	24(43.6%)
Electricity	4(12.1%)	11(33.3%)	33(100%)	4.44	48(87.3%)
Solar cell	11(33.3%)	4(12.1%)	11(100%)	2.22	26(47.3%)

Numbers in parenthesis are percent of particular energy source users from population in the particular agro-ecology

2- 222 chi-square is significant at 5% and 1%, level of significance

The use of firewood is high in all agro-ecologies with a significant value in lowland areas. The result from table 6 revealed that around 10% of highland respondents are using firewood while in lowland area it is little lower to 33%. The use of crop residue as household energy source is also highest in low land where it is the main energy

source for , " ; of the households. The use of liFuid etroleu . gas for house lightening is co . . on all areas Out it is highly racticed in lowland areas (around)# ; !. In highland areas- the culture of using solar cell for lightening is increasing through ti . e (42 ; ! and su0stituting liFuid etroleu . gas.

Table 7: energy sources and technologies used for household lighting

Tech used for light	?reFuency	Percent	1u . ulati<e
1. Electric	2().4).4
2. Solar	, (2\$. \$	34.(
3. Torch	4)	(4.#	4:.(
4. Giogas	(.3	4: .4
". @erosene	(\$#	"(.)\$	(##.#
Total	32,	(##.#	(##.#

Source' own sur<ey result- 2#(\$

4s it is re<ealed in ta0le \$- . a9ority of the households are using 7erosene for lighting sur ose which is around "2 ercent of total households. The use of s . all scale solar cell was also . uch considera0le- which is a0out 2: ercent of the total households.

Households' kitchen use characteristics

8 ore than)4 ercent of the res ondent households ha<e se arate coo7ing roo . s (7itchens! facilities while the rest ha<e no se arate roo . s for coo7ing sur oses and the use of i . ro<ed technologies (i . ro<ed coo7 sto<es! are <ery li . ited and only ((.) ercent of the households are using i . ro<ed coo7 sto<es called *mirt/gonzie* for *injera* 0a7ing which are ro<en to sa<e 0io . ass energy. &uring focus grou discussion res ondents e5 lained that they e<en can coo7 outside ho . e in o en air during the winter eriod. In general only around 22 ercent of the res ondents are using i . ro<ed coo7 technologies li7e *mirt* (for *injera* 0a7ing! and charcoal sa<ing sto<es for stew and coffee . a7ing (ta0le "!. The result fro . 4rsi >one's office of energy also shows that the ercent of households using i . ro<ed coo7 sto<e are only (# ; and it is al . ost the sa . e with that of sur<ey result.

Household Energy Consumption and their determinant factors in Study Area

Esti . ate of household's daily and annual energy consu . tion was assessed during sur<ey and resented in ta0le :. 4s e5 ected the . a9or sources of 0io . ass fuel were fuel round wood- cro residue and ani . al dung. The annual consu . tion of 0io . ass fuel er a household was \$", .2#@g (which is 2.#:@g er day er household! of wet fuel wood-)2#."#@g of dry fuel wood ((.\$#@g er day!- and 43#.\$#@g of dry cro residue ((.(:@g*day!. Nearly a liter of 7erosene is 0eing consu . ed er . onth er each household . ainly for house lightening sur ose.

Table 8: Annual Household Energy Consumption

Energy sources	&aily 1onsu . tion	4nnual 1onsu . tion
1. 3ound fuel wood (@g!	(: ,): , : "
2. 6ranch Ieaf and Twigs	#. :3	3#(. :3
3. 1ro residue	#. :3	3#(. :3
4. 4ni . al dung	#. : :	3(, :3:
". 1harcoal	#.24	: \$.)
). @erosene (lit.!	##3	(#., "
\$. Electricity (@Gh!	##,	32.: "

The a . ount and ty e of energy sources and use of i . ro<ed coo7 sto<e technologies are deter . ined 0y different socioecono . ic factors. The use of i . ro<ed coo7 sto<es li7e i . ro<ed 0io . ass sa<ing *Ainjera* 0a7ingB sto<es- solar anels and electricity were highly deter . ined 0y households' inco . e a . ount. The . ean inco . e for IIS- solar energy anel and electricity users were 3#"2(: : - 3(2# : : 3 and 3(-) ("## while they were (:#(4.4- (2\$, 4.2(and (:)##. : " for non-users with t-<alue significant at , "- , , and ,# ercent of ro0a0ility res ecti<ely (ta0le , !.

Table 9: Income and choice of energy technologies

+aria0le	I . ro<ed coo7 sto<e	Solar anel	Electricity
Inco . e for'			
Dsers	3#"2(: : :	3(2# : : 3	3() ("##
Non-users	(: # (4.4#	(2\$, 4.2((:)##. : "
t-<alue	2.4, 22). (: 222	2. (#2

The choice of coo7ing or lightening energy sources was influenced 0y other factors li7e fa . ily si>- age land holding and li<estoc7 ossession. 4s an indicator- households' daily fuel wood and ani . al dung consu . tion were regressed against different socioecono . ic <aria0les li7e natural logarith . transfor . ed inco . e- fa . ily si>- . an-eFui<alent fa . ily la0or- li<estoc7 ossession in TID- age of res ondent and landholding si>- e of the households. The a . ount of fuel wood consu . tion was significantly and ositi<ely influenced 0y fa . ily si>- e and

li<estoc7 ossession (TID! while it was negati<ely influenced Oy natural logarith . of inco . e and . an-eFui<alent of fa . ily la0or. The a . ount of ani . al dung used was also ositi<ely and significantly influenced Oy landholding and negati<ely Oy fa . ily la0or.

The results fro . ta0le , and (# re<eal that as household inco . e increases- there is a shift fro . traditional energy sources and co . 0ustion technologies to . odern and i . ro<ed and efficient technologies. The a . ount of daily fuel wood consu . tion was negati<ely affected Oy the a . ount of household inco . e which shows that there is a shift fro . traditional energy sources to . odern ones li7e electricity and solar. This result is si . ilar with 6ansal et al. (2#(3! in rural India- 1haudhuri and Pfaff (2##3! in Pa7istan- =elt0erg (2##"! in /uate . ala and Nlo . and @ari . oc (2#(4! in northern 1a . eroon which shows that household inco . e is one of the . ain factors in choosing fuels for coo7ing. %uedraogo (2##)! in his findings while analy>ing ur0an households coo7ing fuel choice in %uagadougou- 6ur7ina ?aso- he re ortet that the fuel wood utili>ation rate decreases with increasing household inco . e. Si . ilarly- research findings Oy 4rthur et al. (2#(#! shows that households' wealth deter . ines the transition fro . 0io . ass to electricity in 8o>a . OiFue. The a . ount of daily ani . al dung use was also affected negati<ely Oy a . ount of inco . e and it was ositi<ely affected Oy si>e of li<estoc7 o . ulation.

Table 10: OLS result for fuelwood and animal dung use in Arsi and w/Arsi zones

&e endent Jdaily fuel wood (@g!

&e endent <aria0le Jdaily ani . al dung (@g!

+aria0les	6	t-<alue	+aria0les	6	t-<alue
1onstant	".)2	3.4(22	1onstant	2.3\$	2.4(2
?a . ily si>e	#.(,	2.332	InInco . e	-#.#:	-(.3#
?a . ily la0or	#.()	2.#(2	4ge	#.#:	(.3"
Ii<estoc7 (TID!	#.#\$	(. (#	Iandholding	#.(2	2.#2
InInco . e	-#.((-(. \$42	fa . ily la0or	-#.("	-2.4(22
4ge	-#.#2	3.) "	TID	#. .	3.)4222
?-<alue 2.3: (?-<alue J2.2\$		
4d9usted 3 ² J #.#(\$			4d9usted 3 ² J #.#("		

22- 2significant at " and (# ; ro0a0ility le<el

Constraints and opportunities of existing energy resources in Arsi and W/Arsi zones

The . a0or energy ty es under use are co . . only 0io . ass sources fro . different sources . a0orly woods- ani . al dung cro . residue and others. ?ro . result of focus grou . discussion with all sta7eholders at different stages- the rate of deforestation due to use of 0io . ass as a source of household 0io-fuel is higher than rate of reforestation in Ethio . ia (there is un0alanced utili>ation of forest!. The e5 ansion of agricultural land is also one of the . ost i . ortant causes of deforestation and as a result in . ost districts where this sur<ey was conducted- e<ery . arginal land was distri0uted as a far . land and deforested. %ther studies done Oy different authors also re<ealed the sa . e result. ?or instance the research Oy /essesse and 1hristiansson (2##:! in South-central 3ift +alley and 6edru (2##)! in central and southern 3ift +alley of Ethio . ia show the i . act of far . land e5 ansion on deforestation. ?urther . ore- as it is 7now in a . ised far . ing syste . the li<estoc7 and cro . roduction are su . le . entary and Oy roducts fro . one enter rises is an in ut for the other and <ice<-ersa. 6ut due to the lac7 of fuel-wood fro . forest e<erything fro . li<estoc7 or cro . roduction goes to fire and the fertility of soil is highly affected.

%nly few households are using solar cells for lighting 0ut co . are to its starting ti . e- the o<erall 32 percent of res ondents is not insignificant nu . 0er (ta0le)!. The o<erall use of electricity use is only) percent which insignificant and li . ited to <illages which are so . ehov condensed. / rid rural electrification is difficult due to high cost of initial in<est . ent since the o . ulation is scattered. 8 oreo<er- the atte . t to i . ro<e the efficiency of the 0io . ass through use of i . ro<ed technologies li7e i . ro<ed coo7 sto<e is not effecti<e.

/ood energy utili>ation o . ortunities in study areas are that there is gradual increase in rural households' awareness on i . ortance of clear energy and health related ro0le . s of using 0io . ass energy sources with o . en inefficient technologies which is creating de . and for i . ro<ed technologies. This will in turn . a7e the duty of de . onstrating technologies easier for 0oth research centers and de<elo . ent ractitioners. 8 oreo<er- the rural households understand the effects of using 0io . ass in unsafe ways and deforestation and there is good start in 0iological conser<ation (afforesting the degraded land! and this will reha0ilitate the stoc7 of 0io . ass in general. ?or instance the res ondents were as7ed whether they 7now the corres onding effects of using firewood*other 0io . asses in o . en sto<es or o<-er utili>ation of forest in unsafe and i . ro . er ways for coo7ing on health-en<iron . ent (deforestation- rain altern- ti . e of raining- a . ount of rain we recei<-e- etc and al . ost \$# percent of the res ondents answered that they 7now it 0ut they don't ha<e an alternati<es to i . ro<e their ways of li<ing.

4n attention gi<en Oy ?ederal /o<ern . ent of Ethio . ia for energy de<elo . ent and distri0ution is which is su . orted Oy good energy o . icy and in<ol<e . ent of different N / %s such as solar energy foundation (/er . an N / %!- =unde wor7ing in %ro . ia on 0iogas installation and other wor7ing on <aries energy alternati<es are also another o . ortunities. 8 inistry of Gater Irrigation and Electricity is also in<esting on energy plant lantation li7e %atro ha in otential areas li7e 8erti- Je9u and /ololcha districts of 4rsi >one which is an additional o . ortunity

for development of clean energy in the study area.

Limitations in Use of Improved Technologies: Key Challenges

Even though there is an attempt to demonstrate and disseminate improved cooking stoves locally, it is not as effective as planned due to budget shortage, continuous structural changes in development offices and mandates of rural development agents. Previously, natural resource conservation efforts at village level were responsible for conservation of forest and dissemination of improved cooking stoves at village level. But currently since the dissemination of improved cooking stoves responsibility is shifted to general water and energy offices at different levels and they don't have representative development agents down level (village level), it becomes a forgotten business at P4 level.

The lower use of improved cooking stoves is associated to many socioeconomic and institutional issues. The first critical reason was lack of awareness on effects of traditional energy using on health and amount of time lost to domestic and natural resource degradation (especially both backyard and natural forest). The second reason for low use of IIS was technology supply shortage. Even though there is an attempt to organize IIS producing microenterprises in most districts, the production and distribution are limited to urban and peri-urban areas where there is alternative energy sources and this is due to poor access to infrastructure and logistics.

The third reason for lower adoption (dissemination) of IIS was low purchasing power of the users due to low income. The other reasons for low dissemination of the technologies reported by rural energy offices at zonal and district level were lack of logistics and budget shortage.

The use of biogas is still at demonstration stage by different NGOs and government projects and the number of plants constructed so far is still insignificant. For biogas construction unit is constructing biogas for farmlands in cost sharing mode and there are also some NGOs which are funding full cost of the project. According to reports from zonal offices of energy only 4, and 32, biogas were constructed in 4rsi and woredas respectively. Major constraints in biogas dissemination are affordability (expensiveness of the technology), lack of technical gap for constructors (due to this it was reported that most biogas plants are not functional and this goes to 2"-3#; and lack of awareness from users side on advantages of biogas (biogas product (slurry) and income. Lettleness of the technology (there is no *mitad* which widely used for making of *injera* and other food and it is only used for stew and coffee making and lightening purpose). But currently there is solar cell for lightening purpose as an option and absence of this stove is a critical role to be solved by research.

Literatures revealed that being a thirteen-month shine country, the potential solar energy in Ethiopia is 10^8 kWh/m^2 and less than 1 percent was exploited so far (Berhe & 2013). But the use of solar energy for lightening purpose is constrained by technical gap of users and forged product of (fraudulently reduced fake) products, solar cells which are imported illegally. The supply from government side with collaboration of Ethiopian development bank has no consistency and not accessible when farmers demand. In most cases there is no or too limited after sales services. For the result of @11 and 2/3 it was understood that there is no trained technicians to train users even how to install and there is no maintenance service in case of any damages. The report from assessment done by solar energy foundation in 2009 also revealed that these aforementioned constraints were main challenges in solar cell use business (Samson T. 2009). The assessment made at national level described the main challenge in the sector as shortage of hard currency for import, shortage of finance both at local and abroad, product quality in the market and inappropriate competition and fake and copied product import due to lack of national standard and control.

Conclusion and Potential Research and Development Intervention Recommendation Areas in areas of rural energy

To enhance efficiency and sustainability of the existing energy resources of the area, different research activities has to be conducted and intervention with existing at hand technologies that can enhance efficiency of the energy sources is also a crucial.

With at hand existing technologies both research and development bodies can work on demonstration and re-scaling use of the improved cooking stoves, organizing technical and operational trainings for users and local technicians on solar energy apparatus and biogas utilizations. This will increase the awareness of the households on importance of using clean energy and how to use appropriate the improved energy source technologies at their home. Local technicians equipped with skill and knowledge of these technologies can also boost the confidence of rural households to invest on such technologies. The study area especially the lowland areas of 4rsi are ideal sources of solar energy. For example, the Gera district was one of the nationally recommended sites for solar P+ development in Ethiopia. In addition, districts like Berti, Wololcha, Jelu and Gaway-dugda from 4rsi zone and Shalla and 4rsi Negelle from woredas are examples of districts with higher potential for solar energy. Therefore, demonstration of existing ones and researching on different solar cooking technologies is crucial work of research centers to achieve use of this large renewable energy resource. Developing *Ainjera mitad* stove for biogas which was started by Asella agricultural engineering research center, development of energy plant like

$$\vdots$$

4. Endogenous factors used to estimate adult fertility

Age group	Male	Female
-----------	------	--------

X(1)	#	#
(1-2)	#.2	#.2
(2-3)	#.	#.4
(3-4)	(#.:
(4-5)	#.	#.

Source: United Nations (2008)