

# Economic Evaluation of Asella Model-III Multi-crop Thresher

Tamrat Gebiso Challa

ocioeconomics \*ese!rch +e!m, -sell! -gricultur!l .ngineering \*ese!rch /enter, )romi! -gricultur!l \*ese!rch \$nstitute, -sell!, .thiopi!

## Email address:

tameulove@yahoo.com, gebisochalla@gmail.com

## To cite this article:

+!mr!t 0ebiso /h!ll! .conomic .!ll!tion o2 -sell! 3 o"el-\$\$\$ 3ulti-/rop +hresher.*International Journal of Agricultural Economics*.  
4ol. 3, &o. 3, 2018, pp. 45-52. "oi: 10.11#48/.i !e.20180303.12

Received: -pril 5, 2018; Accepted: -pril 18, 2018; Published: 3 !5 22, 2018

**Abstract:** 6he!t is one o2 the most popul!r crops in -rsi 7one pro"uce" colering m! or 2!rml!n"s speci!ll5 on mi"-high!n"s !n" p!rts o2 high!n" !re!s. \$ts pro"uction s5stem is more !"1!nce" !n" supporte" b5 both biologic!l !n" mech!nic!l technologies rel!ti!e to other crops in this !re!. 8ut the mech!ni7!tion o2 whe!t is thre!tene" b5 topogr!phic!l in!ccessibilit5 in most high!n" !re!s o2 the 7one. +o sol!e this problem -sell! -gricultur!l .ngineering rese!rch center "e!elope" !n" teste" its thir" !ersion multi-crop thresher. 8e2ore the wi"er multiplic!tion !n" "issem!tion o2 the technolog5, technic!l, economic!l !n" soci!! !cept!nce !n" 2e!sibilit5 o2 the m!chine h!s to be stu"ie". +here2ore, this rese!rch !ctilit5 w!s initi!te"with the ob ectives o2 e!ll!ting 2in!nci!! , economic!l!n" soci!! 2e!sibilit5 o2 the m!chine 1is-9-1is tr!"ition!l !n" combines h!r!esting metho"s in -rsi 7one un"er 2!rmers: con"itions. -ccor"ingl5, the newl5 "e!elope" -sell! mo"el-\$\$\$ thresher w!s 2oun" to be economic!l comp!re" to tr!"ition!l !nim!l tr!mpling metho".

**Keywords:** .conomic .!ll!tion, 3ulti-crop +hresher, /ombine ; !r!esting, (!rti!! 8u"geting, (!5-8!c< (erio", \$ntern!! \*!te o2 \*eturn, %ensitilit5 -n!l5sis

## 1. Background and Justification

+he histor5 o2 !gricultur!l mech!ni7!tion in -rsi goes b!c< to 1=#0<sup>th</sup> when /hil!lo -gricultur!l >e!elopment ?nit (/ ->?)s 2!rm implement promotion !n" impro!ement section st!rte" the e!ll!tion !n" comp!rison o2 especi!ll5, loc!! (con!ention!!) h!r!esting !n" threshing 2!rm implements !g!inst impro!e" m!chiner!es !n" 2oun" subst!nti!! output loss in c!se o2 con!ention!! h!r!esting !n" threshing techni@ues A1B, A2B, !n" A3B. -2ter th!t e!ll!tion, / ->? continue" the promotion o2 pre h!r!est, h!r!est !n" post h!r!est 2!rm m!chiner!es until the progr!m w!s 2orce" to "iscontinue b5 polic5 m!<ers in 1='2, e!len though the economic 2e!sibilit5 !n" technic!l i!bilit5 o2 the new metho"s were con2irme" A4B.+he m!in conse@uences o2 promoting the new metho"s "uring 1='2 were reporte" to be the eliction o2 ten!nts, incre!se" unemplo5ment !n" soil erosion A5B, A#B !n" A'B.

%ince 1='4, the use o2 -gricultur!l mech!ni7!tion m!chiner!es b5 in"ili"u!! sm!!l sc!le 2!rmers w!s tot!ll5 2orbi""en !n" onl5 pro"ucer cooper!tives were !llowe" to use those m!chiner!es until the pro"ucer cooper!tives were

"ism!ntle" b5 1==1 A8B. -2ter the politic!! !n" economic structur!! re2orm o2 1==1, sm!!l sc!le 2!rmers st!rte" bene2iting 2rom the use o2 2!rm m!chiner!es b5 hiring 2rom pri!lte in!estors !n" some multipurpose cooper!tives.

6he!t is one o2 the most popul!r crops in -rsi 7one pro"uce" colering m! or 2!rml!n"s speci!ll5 on mi"-high!n"s !n" p!rts o2 high!n" !re!s. \$ts pro"uction s5stem is more !"1!nce" !n" supporte" b5 both biologic!l !n" mech!nic!l technologies rel!ti!e to other crops in this !re!. ?nless the 2!rm is resource poor to use, tr!ctor, tr!ctor mounte" pl!nters !n" combine h!r!ester !re !! !ll!ble through renting 2rom cooper!tives !n" pri!lte m!chiner5 hol"ers. 8ut this mech!nic!l technolog5 inter!ention is constr!ine" b5 in!ccessibilit5 "ue to topogr!ph5 o2 most p!rts o2 the 7one.

3ost 2!rmers h!lling goo" topogr!ph5 o2 2!rm !l!n"s !re hiring combine h!r!esters while some o2 the others !re bu5ing !n"/or hiring the st!tion!r5 motori7e" (engine "ri!en) threshers. >i22erent org!ni7!tions inclu"ing -sell! -gricultur!l engineering rese!rch center, !re m!nu2!cturing "i22erent mo"els o2 this st!tion!r5 engine "ri!en threshers t5pe !n" 2!rmers !re using these technologies. ; owe!er, the

economic 2e!sibilit5 !n" soci!! l!bilit5 o2 those !ltern!t!e metho"s o2 threshing !n" h!rl!sting must be !ssesse" !n" comp!re" with con!ention!! metho"s be2ore emb!r!king on m!ss pro"uction !n" recommen"!tion o2 the techni@ues.

## 2. Objectives of the Study

+here h!le been "i22erent !rguments between mech!ni7!tion 2!loring !n" "is2!loring groups in .thiopi!n !n" !! o!er the worl"s !gr!culture reg!r!ng the imp!ct o2 !gr!cultu!! mech!ni7!tion on pro"uction !n" pro"uctilit5. +he mech!ni7!tion 2!loring groups !rgue th!t net pro"uctilit5 g!ine" "ue to 2!rm mech!ni7!tion while the !gr!cultu!! mech!ni7!tion "is2!loring group who consi"ere" !gr!cultu!! mech!ni7!tion !s the substitute 2or !nim!! !n" hum!n !bour!spl!cing technolog5, !rgue th!t there is no signi2ic!nt net e22icienc5 g!ins in terms o2 higher output !n" no re"uction in pro"uction cost. !len i2 there higher pro"uction output, it will be o22set b5 higher pro"uction cost speci!!l5 when resources !re !llue" in terms o2 soci!! e22icienc5 price r!ther th!n pri!!te e22icienc5 prices!B !n" A=B.

+here2ore, this stu"5 is initi!te" to !ssess the economic!! !n" soci!! 2e!sibilit5 o2 st!tion!r5 engine "ri!en threshing metho" !is-9-!is the combine h!rl!sting !n" con!ention!! metho"s/! comp!r!t!e !ssessment o2 ! m!nu!! sic!ling !n" motori7e" st!tion!r5 m!chine threshing 4is-9-!is ! combine h!rl!sting !n" tr!ition!! threshing in -rsi !n" 6est -rsi with the 2ollowing speci2ic ob ectives.

1. +o "escribe the current threshing technologies in -rsi !n" 6est -rsi 7ones
2. -ssess !n" comp!re the socioeconomic pro2it!bilit5 o2!ltern!t!e h!rl!sting !n" threshing technologies

## 3. Research Methodology

### 3.1. The Study Area

+his rese!rch w!s con"ucte" in -rsi 7one two "istrictsn!mel5Cemu-bilbilo !n" ;etos!selecte" b!se" on prelimin!r5 in2orm!tion 2or the eDposure/eDperience to st!tion!r5 engine "ri!en threshing m!chine !n" combine h!rl!ster 2or hiring in the !re!. 6he!t is the m!in crop in terms o2 !!n" !lloc!tion !n" pro"uction in both "istricts. +here !re !lso e22orts which h!le been "one to mech!ni7e whe!t 2!rms in these !re!s !n" the e22ort o2 -sell! !gr!cultu!! engineering rese!rch center c!n be mentione" !s one which "elelop, mo"i25 !n" !"!pt "i22erent pre-h!rl!st !n" h!rl!st technologies. \*ecent!5, tr!ctor !n" combine h!rl!sting !re eDp!n"ing in most p!rts o2 high!n" !re!s. +here !re some threshing technologies being tr!ns2erre" to 2!rmers in this !re! while their comp!r!t!e !"!!nt!ges o!er combine h!rl!sting !n" tr!ition!! h!rl!sting were not stu"ie".

### 3.2. Data Type and Methods of Data Collection

8oth prim!r5 !n" secon!r5 "!!t! t5pes were use" in this rese!rch. (rim!r5 "!!t! were collecte" 2rom 2!rmers both on 2!rm b!sis b5 chec!lists !n" using structure" @uestionn!res. +o compute the comp!r!t!e economics o2 the three threshing mech!nisms, ) - \*\$--sel! mo"el-3 multi crop thresher w!s use" !n" prim!r5 "!!t! w!s collecte" on 2iel". +he "!!t! collecte" inclu"e "emogr!phic !n" socioeconomic ch!r!cteristics o2 the respon"ents, in2orm!tion on whe!t pro"uction !n" threshing (whe!t 2!rming ch!r!cter!7!tion). - ""!tion!!l5, E0> w!s un"ert!<en with <e5 in2orm!nts li<e mo"el 2!rmers, > -s, in!estors o2 !gr!cultu!! m!chiner!es rent ser!ice pro!i"ers, !n" "i22erent st!<ehol"ers !t "i22erent le!els. +o collect h!rl!sting !n" threshing cost o2 e!ch mech!nism (i.e. to m!<e comp!r!son !mong "i22erent threshing mech!nism), !ctu!! !n" estim!tion b5 respon"ents !t e!ch (- w!s collecte" "uring the se!son using -sel! mo"el-3 multi crop thresher, combine h!rl!ster !n" loc!lor/tr!ition!! !nim!! tr!mpling mech!nism on p!te o2 2iel" c!lle" *hogdi/awudima*.

### 3.3. Data Analysis Methods

>!!t! !n!l5sis metho" is "etermine" b5 ob ective o2 the rese!rch, !n" t5pe o2 "!!t! collecte". \$n this rese!rch !ctilit5, there !re @u!!it!t!e "!!t! which !re !IEWS !n" comments 2rom "i22erent eDperts, 2!rmers !n" "elelopment !gents !n" these "!!t! were !n!l57e" @u!!it!t!el5. +o con"uct the comp!r!t!e !n!l5sis o2 whe!t threshing techni@ues, p!rti!! bu"geting w!s emplo5e". +o summ!r!7e the "emogr!phic !n" socioeconomic ch!r!cteristics o2 the respon"ents, "escript!e !n" in2erenti!! st!tistics were emplo5e". +o estim!te the economic 2e!sibilit5 o2 threshing techni@ues, intern!! r!te o2 return !n" m!chine p!5-b!<c!per!o" o2 engine "ri!en threshing metho" were c!lcul!te"2ollowing A10B.

## 4. Result and Discussion

### 4.1. Socioeconomic Characteristics and Resource Ownership of the Respondents

+he result in +!ble 1 shows th!t the me!n !ge o2 respon"ents w!s !bout 45 5e!rs while the me!n e"uc!tion 5e!r w!s 4.= 5e!rs. +he !lrgest e"uc!tion st!tus w!s 12 gr!"es complete. +he me!n 2!mil5 si7e o2 the respon"ents: household w!s !bout siD persons while on !er!ge e!ch household h!s !roun" two economic!!l5 "epen"ent 2!mil5 members. \$n ;etos! household:s he!" !ge w!s higher th!n in C/8ilbilo !n" the5 !re more e"uc!te". >epen"enc5 w!s !lso higher in Cemu-bilbilo "istrict !n" the !l!ues !re !! signi2ic!nt.

C!n" is the most import!nt resource in 2!rming business !n" the !er!ge !n"hol"ing o2 the respon"ents w!s 2.5=hect!res with m!Dimum hol"ing o2 10.88hect!res !n" minimum hol"ing o2 0.13 hect!re per household".

**Table 1.** Mean of Socioeconomic Variables of Households.

Variable Description	Lemu-bilbilo	Hetosa	total
:ousehol" he!" -ge	40.58 <sup>1</sup>	51.1 '	45.28
:ousehol" he!" e"uc!tion	4.23 <sup>2</sup>	#.54	4.='
>epen"ent househol" member	2.00 <sup>3</sup>	1.3 '	1.' 5
E!mil5 si7e o2 househol"	5.=2	5.#=	5.83
C!n"hol"ing	2.5 '	2.#1	2.5=
-nnu!! income (. +8)	450##	3' 15'	3=#8=.88
Ci1estoc< in +C?	' .5'	#.58	' .05

1, 2 In" 3 t-1 ! lue 2or me! n " i22erence !re signi2ic!nt !t 1, 5 !n" 10G le1el.

#### 4.2. Description of Current Wheat Harvesting and Threshing Mechanisms

+here !re two m! orl5 use" threshing mech!nisms in the  
stu"5 !re!s while the thir" one is on intro"uction st!ge.  
3 !nu!l h!rl!esting !n" threshing metho"s !re the most  
"omin!ting one in high!n"s o2 Cemu-8ilbilo "istrict while in  
;etos!, combine h!rl!esting is common!5 !n" wi"el5 use"  
metho" o2 h!rl!esting. / ombine h!rl!esting w!s re-intro"uce"  
!2ter "own2!l o2 *Dergue* regime b5 in!estors !n" unions. +he  
thir" engine "ri!en st!tion!r5 thresher is un"er intro"uction  
b5 +-+ !n" -sell! !gricultur!! engineering rese!rch center.  
%el!mt5pe thresher w!s multiplie" b5 ministr5 o2 !griculture  
!n" "istribute" to selecte" "istricts o2 )romi! region !n"  
Cemu-bilbilo "istrict w!s the one inclu"e" in the progr!m.  
-sell! mo"el-\$\$\$ thresher w!s un"er mo"i2ic!tion !n" pre-  
eDtension "emonstr!tion since long time !n" current!5, some  
2!rmers in %ire, +i5o !n" Cemu-bilbilo "istricts h!le bought  
!n" using the technoloq5 b5 renting !s well.

#### 4.2.1. Manual Sickling and Animal Threshing/Trampling (Traditional Harvesting)

+r!"ition!! threshing metho" o2 whe!t comprises !ctilities  
o2 h!r!esting, he!ping, tr!nsporting whe!t bun"les, tr!mpling  
whe!t on the 2iel". +his shows how much the tr!"ition!!  
h!r!esting s5stem is !bor intensile !n" 2ull o2 "ru"geries.  
3ore th!n '5G o2 2!rmers in Cemu-8!lbilo "istrict !n" on!5  
!roun" 3G in ;etos! threshes their whe!t crop m!nu!!5 (in  
tr!"ition!! w!5s) while the rem!ining is being threshed b5  
combine h!r!ester.

\$n places where tradition!! (manu!!) harvesting is common, wheat crop will be harvested b5 sickle in" stace" in the field 2or some period until the farmer finishes harvesting o2 his others field"s. +hen using either b/c o2 p/c/ !nim!ls or *hoballo* (sledge) "rwn usu!! b5 p/ir o2 oDen or in some !re!s some !nim!! "rwn c/rt, the bundle o2 crop will be trnsporte" to threshing field" cille" *awudima* usu!! prep/ire" !round the homestead!" where it is convenient 2or loading !ter. 8un"le o2 wheat is trnsporte" to the nearby pl/te field" not only 2or convenience but it is bec!use the str!w is highly nee"e" 2or bee"ing livestock< !n" !lso be sold 2or house construction purposes both in the town !n" rural !re!s. +rnsport!tion means coul" !lso be human !labor "open"ing on the !ll!l!bilit5 o2 trnsporting !nim!! or "ist!nce o2 the !rm field" 2rom the threshing pl/te. +hen crop bundle will be st!ce"or he!pe" !q!in 2or sometimes o2 m!5

"irectl5 be threshe" !n" this will "epen" on nee" 2or the gr!n either 2or home consumption or m!r<et purpose, !1!!bilit5 o2 !nim!ls 2or tr!mpling !n" we!ther con"itions suit!bilit5 2or threshing !cti!t5. +hen the 2iel" will be cle!ne" o2 gr!sses !n" other m!teri!ls !n" the crop will be threshe" !n" the str!w is winnowe", cle!ne", me!sure" !n" tr!nsporte" to the gr!n stor!ge or w!rehouse sometimes.

. !ch !ctil!t5 is !ccomplishe" b5 hum!n being m!nu!!5  
using 2!mil5 !!bor or other w!ge" !!bor. - ccor"ing to "!!  
collecte" using 2ocus group "iscussion !n" chec<list,  
h!r!esting (sic<ling) is usu!!5 "one b!se" on contr!ct b!sis  
!n" the cost is between 1800 .+8 !n" 2000.+8 b!se" on  
crop "ensit5 while it too< 1# to 20 m!n-"!5s to h!r!est !  
hect!re o2 whe!t. Eor this rese!rch purpose !ler!ge o2 the  
m!Dimum !n" minimum !!lues which w!s 18m!n"!5s w!s  
consi"ere" to compute the comp!r!t!le !"!nt!ges o2  
"i22erent threshing mech!nisms. .!en though on 2iel"  
he!ping is "one b5 h!r!esting !!borers, 2or this consumption,  
the !!bor nee"e" 2or he!ping w!s c!lcul!te" !n" on !ler!ge  
0.=5m!n"!5s per hect!re w!s re@uire" while 0.=m!n"!5s w!s  
use"e" !t tr!mpling pl!te. - roun" 3 er!ro (-s, bun"le  
tr!nsport!tion is "one usu!!5 on b!c< o2 p!c< !nim!!s (horse  
!n" "on<e5) while sle"ges ("r!wn b5 p!ir o2 oDen) !n" horse  
"r!wn c!rts use" !roun" Cemu-"im! (-. . !en though it  
is not common !roun" Cemu-"im! (- !nim!! renting 2or  
whe!t crop tr!nsport!tion !n" tr!mpling w!s common !roun"  
(-s o2 3 er!ro (one o2 the sites this rese!rch w!s con"ucte")  
!n" it w!s !"!pte" 2or Cemu-"im!:s !re! !n" use" !s proD5  
!n" the !ler!ge rent!! price o2 #0.+8/!nim!! "!5 w!s use"  
2or comput!tion. 3 ost 2!rmers in the stu"5 !re! <eep !!rge  
number o2 horses !n" oDen 2or tr!mpling purposes 2or short  
perio" o2 time !n" some other 2!rmers rent !nim!!s 2or  
tr!mpling purposes.

For computation of this research work, the wheel use  
 but of plot; namely; "one horse use". )  
 !er/ge to transport ! hectre of crop bundle 15 "one "15  
 " 20 m"n"!5s were use". )ption!5 one c"n !so use  
 contracting out of help" bundle "n" hectre of crop w/s  
 usual!5 help" !t 2our places "n" e!ch help costs !bout  
 1200.8\* to transport to threshing plot. -!er/ge cost of  
 hiring ! "one "15 is '5.8\*/"15.

#### 4.2.2. Combine Harvesting Method

\$n some pl!in o2 -rsi !n" 8!le combine h!r!esting is  
common!5 use" !n" subst!nti!l!5 re"uces !!bor 2or whe!t  
pro"uction !n" !gric!ltur!! "ru"ger5 !s ! whole. 3 ost

2!rmers in these !re!s pre2erre" the pro"uction o2 whe!t o!er other crops bec!use o2 its e!se o2 pro"uction espec!ll!sinwee"ing !n" h!r!estingprocesses. \$n ;etos! more th!n ='G o2 the respon"ents use combine h!r!ester while it is on!5 !roun" 2#G in Cemubilbilo which is m!in!5 "ue to the incon!enience o2 topogr!ph5 in the !re!.

\$n pre!ious time there were "i2erent sources o2 combine h!r!esters rent ser!ices li!e -gr!cultur!l 3 ech!ni7!tion %er!ice %t!tions, pri!ite owners, st!te 2!rms, 2!rmers !n" !gr!cultur!l "e!elopment eDperts tr!ining centers li!e -r"!st!, !n" etc. 8ut current!5 the m!r!et is "omin!te" b5 pri!ite owners !n" in some !re!s li!e ;etos!, unions !re pro!i"ing combine rent ser!ices. O!lem! union !lso st!rte" combine h!r!esting ser!ice pro!ision with two combine h!r!esters. %er!ice pro!i"ers !re mo!ing 2rom pl!ce to pl!ce !n" their wor!ing !re!s !re not boun"e". +he5 usu!l!5 mo!e 2ollowing the crop m!turit5 c!len"!r 2rom e!st %hew! to 8!le 7ones. +hreshing/h!r!esting st!rts in -s!s! 2rom mi"- )ctober !n" continues while in ;etos! it st!rts 2rom &o!ember. \$n some high!n"s o2 Cemubilbilo !n" others it will continue up to F!nu!r5.

H!el" estim!tion is "one b!se" on weight b!sis b5 oper!tors !n" ! @uint!l is e@ui!l!ent to 100<g while 2!rmers me!sure their gr!in 5iel" !2ter re-cle!ning using ! pol5eth5lene b!g which cont!ins 115 to 120 <g which the5 consi"ere" !s one @uint!l. %ometimes this "i2erence which is cre!te" bec!use o2 misun"erst!n" bec!me source o2 "ispute !n" loss o2 trust between oper!tors !n" 2!rmers. +here2ore, 2or this comput!tion purpose, the 5iel" me!sure" b5 2!rmers !2ter re-cle!ning w!s !"uste" b5 the !ler!ge o2 the "i2erence between the two me!surements. ;ence, the !"uste" 5iel" w!s use" to c!lcul!te the gross return !n" cost o2 h!r!esting. +he !"ustment !llue w!s t!<en to be 1'.5<g (i.e. ! @uint!l o2 5iel" me!sure" b5 2!rmers !2ter re-cle!ning w!s consi"ere" to be 11'.5<g).

\*e-cle!ning o2 the combine h!r!este" gr!in re@uires 0.08 m!n-"!5s per @uint!l which is !roun" 4.5= .+8/@t b!se" on current w!ge in the stu"5 !re!where comp!r!t!le !ssessment

o2 engine-"r!len st!tion!r5 thresher !is-9-!is m!nu!l threshing w!s con"ucte" (#0. +8/"!5). >!il5 !!borers w!ge w!s !roun" "ouble in ;etos! "uring the s!me perio" !n" one c!n simpl5 obser!e th!t how computing with the two !!bor intensile mech!nisms in the !re! is too tough in this !re!. -2ter re-cle!ning, the gr!in will be p!c!e" !n" tr!nsporte" to home b5 !nim!l "r!wn c!rt or p!c!< !nim!l !n" on !ler!ge it costs !roun" 5. +8 per @uint!l !n" this cost is common 2or !ll the three threshing metho"s.

/ost o2 combine h!r!esting inclu"es hire o2 combine h!r!ester, tr!nsport with tr!iler, !!bor 2or re-cle!ning !n" in most c!ses tip 2or oper!tor. 8ut since tip 2or oper!tors is not leg!l !n" it is not uni2orm throughout, some 2!rmer p!5 while the other were not p!5ing, it w!s "i2icult to estim!te !n" w!s not inclu"e" in the cost.

Table 2. Households' use status of tractor and combine harvesting machines.

Mechanization technology	User	Non-user
+r!ctor	4#(38.33)I	'4(#1.#')
/ombine h!r!ester	4'(3=2)	'3(#0.80)

I&umber in p!r!thesis is percent!ge

#### 4.2.3. Performance of Asella Model-3 Multi-crop Thresher

)n 2!rm pr!ctic!l p!rticip!tor5 per2orm!nce e!lu!tion o2 -sell! mo"el-3 multi-crop thresher w!s con"ucte" in Cemmu-8ilbilo "istrict !t three sep!r!te sites. +wo pe!s!nt !ssoci!tions ((-s were selecte" b!se" on their whe!t pro"uction potenti!l !n" !ccess to "i2erent threshing mech!nisms. +hree willing 2ull 2!rmers were selecte" 2rom the two (-s 2or eDperiment. +wo e@u!l whe!t crop 2iel"s (0.125h! e!ch) were prep!re" !t e!ch site !n" r!n"om!5 !ssigne" to tr!t!tion!l (!nim!l tr!mpling !t *hogdi/awudima*) !n" -sell! mo"el-3 engine "r!len st!tion!r5 threshing m!chine metho"s. +he crop w!s 2irst h!r!este" b5 sic!le !n" tr!nsporte" to threshing 2iel"s: o2 respectile 2!rmers. +he threshing m!chine w!s oper!te" !t optimum oper!tion spee" o2 !ler!ge "rum spee" '8#.#'\*(3, !n" !ler!ge 2un spee" o2 1450\*(3. Euel consumption w!s c!lcul!te" to be 1.2litters per hour.

Table 3. Machine Vs traditional method performance comparison for different parameters.

	Grain-straw ratio(wt/wt)	cleaningefficiency	Threshingcapacity	yield/ha (thresher)	yield/ha (traditional)	yield/ha (combine)
%ite1	2:1	85.835	3.#@t/hr	30	24.5'	24.53
%ite2	1.8'5:1	85.355	4.5@t/hr	35	30	42.'#
%ite3	2:1	=4	2.5@t/hr	18.'	1#.=2	2'.81
-	-	-	-	-	-	20.#0
- !er!ge	1.=58:1	88.40	3.53	2'.=0	23.83	2#1.'

Erom t!ble 3 !bo!e, it rele!le" th!t the m!chine (-sell! mo"el-\$\$\$ engine "r!len thresher) threshes 3.53 @uint!ls (353<g) o2 whe!t per hour while the !ler!ge cle!ning e22icienc5 w!s !bout 88G which is out o2 tot!l threshe" output, !bout 12G w!s impurit5.

/osts 2rom h!r!esting to tr!nsport!tion were !ll the s!me with th!t o2 tr!t!tion!l !nim!l threshing metho"s !n" the

"i2erence is cost o2 threshing !n" cle!ning. %ince the str!w o2 whe!t in -rsi w!s use" !s !nim!l 2ee", the whe!t bun"le h!s to be tr!nsporte" to ne!rb5 pl!te c!lle" *awudima/hogdi* !n" he!pe" 2or some times 2or two m!in re!sons. +he 2irst re!son w!s to "r5 out moisture o2 the str!w 2or e!se o2 threshing !n" the secon" re!son w!s to get time until the5 2inish h!r!esting other crops 2rom their 2iel"s.

Table 4. Man-days requirement for different threshing mechanisms.

		Amount	Cost	Total
6 he!t oper!tion	+5pe o2 C!bor	*e@uire"/h!	per ?nit (8r)	cost/h!
3 !nu!! ; !r!esting:				
; !r!esting	; um!n	18	#5	11'0.0
; e!ping in 2iel"	; um!n	0.=5	#5	#1.'5
; e!ping !t threshing plot	; um!n	0.=0	#5	58.50
+r!nsport!tion	; um!n	20	#5	1300.0
+r!nsport!tion	>on<e5	15	'5	1125.0
+hreshing	; um!n	=	'0	#30.00
+hreshing	- nim!!	45	#5	2'00
6 innowing !n" b!gging	; um!n	#	#5	3=0.00
+r!nsport!tion (gr!in)	Cump sum	5	11=.15	
%t!tion!r5 .ngine >ri!en +hresher +hreshing 3 etho":				
; !r!esting	; um!n	18	#5	11'0
; e!ping in 2iel"	; um!n	0.=5	#5	#1.'5
; e!ping !t threshing plot	; um!n	0.=	#5	58.50
+r!nsport!tion	; um!n	20	#5	1300
+r!nsport!tion	>on<e5	15	80	1125
3 !chine cost	3 !chine	1	355.'5	
Euel cost	Euel	1.2lit	1#.1#	153.3
) per!tor	; um!n	3	#5	1=2.'0
6 innowing	; um!n	0.08/@t	#5	145.1
+r!nsport!tion (gr!in)	Cump sum	5	13=.5	
+ot!! 1!ri!ble cost 2or engine "ri!en threshing mech!nism				4'01.#

### 4.3. Financial Profitability Analysis of the Mechanisms

+o comp!re 2in!nci!! pro2it!bilit5 o2 the three threshing mech!nisms, tr!"ition!! m!nu!! h!r!esting !n" !nim!! tr!mpling, m!nu!! h!r!esting !n" st!tion!r5 engine "ri!en m!chine threshing !n" combine h!r!esting techni@ues, p!rti!! bu"geting w!s emplo5e" (+!ble 5). Eor the two

threshing mech!nisms (m!nu!! !n" motori7e" thresher) e!en though threshing/h!r!esting cost per @uint!! is "i22erent !s gr!in 5iel" per @uint!! is "i22erent 2or the two threshing mech!nisms, since the crop h!s to be h!r!este" 2irst using sic<le, the5 both sh!re s!me !!l costs 2rom h!r!esting to tr!nsporting to threshing 2iel"s.

Table 5. Financial profitability (Birr/ha) of wheat harvesting and threshing technologies in Arsi (Lemu-bilbilo district).

	ManualHarvesting	ThresherHarvesting	CombineHarvesting
Hiel" (@t/h!)	23.83	2'=.0	2#.1'
Oross return <sup>1</sup>	20255.55	23'15	245=0.50
/ost o2 m!nu!! h!r!esting:			
C!bor 2or ; !r!esting	11'0	11'0	-
C!bor 2or ; e!ping	120.25	120.25	-
C!bor 2or +r!nsport!tionb	1300	1300.0	-
C!bor 2or +hreshing <sup>c</sup>	1200	-	-
C!bor 2or winnowing <sup>d</sup>	#30	-	-
- nim!! !!bor <sup>e</sup>	3825	1125	-
3 !teri!! cost	58.'5	58.'5	-
C!bor 2or oper!tion	-	1=2.51	-
C!bor (re-cle!ning !n" weighing)	-	145.08	-
3 !chine cost <sup>2</sup>	355.50		
/ost o2 combine h!r!esting (. +8/h!)			
; ire o2 combine h!r!ester	1'31.#0		
+r!nsport with tr!iler	288.#0		
C!bor (re-cle!ning !n" weighing)	13#.08		
+r!nsport with c!rt/"on<e5	130.85		
+ot!! costs th!t !!r5	8304	4##'.0=	228'.13
&et income !2ter !!r5ing cost	115=1.55	1=24'=.1	1==5'.3'

<sup>1</sup> !!er!ge price o2 850 . +8 per @uint!! w!s t!<en ("!t! 2rom 2!rmers !n" > -s)

<sup>2</sup>2or tr!nsport!tion o2 whe!t bun"le, 1.33 m!n-"!5 is nee"e" per ! "on<e5 (20m!n-"!5s 1s 15 "on<e5 "!"5s)

<sup>3</sup>!nim!! !!bor 2or m!nu!! threshing inclu"es !nim!! 2or threshing !n" tr!nsporting bun"les 2rom 2iel" to !w"im! while in motori7e" thresher c!se it inclu"es on!5 !nim!! !!bor 2or tr!nsport!tion

<sup>4</sup>\*e2er - ppen"ices \$ J \$4 2or m!chine cost comput!tion

)wn combine h!rlasting !t sm!! sc!le 2!rming lelel like th!t o2 .thiopi! is unthin<!ble there2ore, cost o2 h!rlasting b5 combine h!rlaster w!s c!lcul!te" b!se" on cost o2 hiring the m!chine on @uint!! b!sis. \$n some c!ses, when the oper!tors percei!e" th!t !n" pro"ucti!t5 o2 speci2ic 2!rm is not goo", the5 pre2er to cost b!se" on !n" si7e. 8ut since this h!ppen in r!re c!ses, on!5 cost per @uint!! b!sis w!s use" 2or this p!rticul!r rese!rch.

Eor motori7e" st!tion!r5 engine "ri!en threshers, since owing the m!chine !t le!st in group b!sis is possible, the threshing cost i2 the m!chine w!s owne" w!s c!lcul!te". /ost o2 threshing in this c!se inclu"es m!chine owing costs, m!chine oper!ting cost, !n" h!rlasting !n" tr!nsport!tion costs.

#### 4.4. Economic Advantages of Wheat Threshing Mechanisms

##### 4.4.1. Machine Payback Period and Sensitivity Analysis

- m!chine p!5-b!c< perio" is ! consecuti!e time in ! m!chine:s eDpecte" economic li2e th!t ! m!chine:s purch!se price coul" be re-g!ine" 2rom its ser!ices. \$t w!s !ssume" th!t one hum!n "!5 is e@ui!lent to eight hours wor<ing !n" three hum!n"!5s w!s nee"e" to wor< on ! m!chine. - m!chine w!s estim!te" to wor< 2or !bout 200hrs !n" c!n thresh ! tot!l o2 !bout '0# @uint!s o2 whe!t per ! 5e!r. C!bor to thresh this !mount o2 whe!t w!s three person-"!5s per !"!5 times twent5 2i!e (i.e. i2 ! m!chine wor<s 2or 2ull-time which is 2or eight hours, it too< 25"!5s in ! 5e!r to wor< 2or tot!l o2 200 hours) "!5s (+!ble #).

Table 6. Cost and returns of machine per a year.

Cost item	quantity	unit price	total cost
+ot!! l!bor cost	3(>I#58r/"!5I25"!5s	#5	48'5/5e!r
Euel cost	1.2lit/hrsI200hrs	1#.1#	38'8.4/5e!r
+ot!! l!ri!ble cost			8'53.4.+8/5e!r
+ot!! m!chine owing cost/5e!r (200hrs)	45I200hrs	=000.00	
+ot!! o!er!! cost per 5e!r			1', '53.4.+8
Oross !nnu!l return	'O#@t	55.+8/@t	38,830
&et income per ! 5e!r			21,0'##.0

/urrent!5 2!rmers who bought engine "ri!en multi-crop threshing m!chine 2rom - sell! - . \* / !re renting ! m!chine 2or 55.+8 per ! @uint!! !n" m!chine owners on!5 suppl5 m!chine oper!tor (one person-"!5 per ! m!chine). +he gross return per ! 5e!r 2rom m!chine rent will be 3.53@t/hrI200hrs/5e!rI55.+8/@t which is e@u!!s to 38,830.+8.+he net income 2rom the rent o2 threshing m!chine will be the "i2ference between gross return !n" tot!l o!er!! cost per 5e!r !n" it is 21,0'##.0.+8 per 5e!r. +here2ore, i2 one bu5 !n" rent ! m!chine the m!chine p!5-b!c<perio" will be !roun" two !n" h!l2 5e!rs.

%ensiti!t5 !n!5sis o2 the in!estment shoul" be !ssesse" !t three st!ges, un"er norm!l, interme"i!te !n" worst scen!rios. \$n this c!se the worst scen!rio coul" be when the ser!ice ch!rge is re"uce" to ch!rges e@ui!lent to combine h!rlasting ch!rges gi!en !roun" .the5! !n" Oe"eb-s!s! "istricts where topogr!ph5 is more suit!ble 2or combine h!rlasting !n" there is !lrges suppl5 o2 ser!ice. \$n these !re!s, the hiring ser!ice m!r<et is !t competit!e b!sis !n" the ch!rge "uring perio" w!s 40.+8 per @uint!!.+here2ore, i2 the ser!ice ch!rges o2 engine "ri!en whe!t thresher re"uce" to 40.+8/@uint!! , the net income will be re"uce" to 1=,48#.#0 .+8 !n" the p!5-b!c<perio" will be !roun" two 5e!rs.

##### 4.4.2. Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for Asella Model-III Multi-crop Thresher

Table 7. Machine Investment Cash Flow.

Year	Cash Flow	Amount (ETB)
He!r 0	(in!estment)	-4',000.00
He!rs 1-10	210'##.0/5e!r	
\$**	44G	

+he \$tern!! r!te o2 return (\$\*\*) 2or !n in!estment is the percent!ge r!te e!rne" on e!ch birr in!este" 2or e!ch perio" it is in!este". 3 !them!tic!!5, intern!! r!te o2 return (\$\*\*) is the interest r!te !t which the net present !llue o2 !ll the c!sh 2lows (both positi!e !n" neg!ti!e) 2rom ! project or in!estment e@u!! 7ero. \$n this c!se it is the \$\*\* 2or in!estment on the ) - \*\$--sell! mo"el-\$\$\$ multi-crop thresher. +he c!sh 2low inclu"es initi!l in!estment !n" the net income 2rom the rent o2 m!chine 2or the neDt consecuti!e ten 5e!rs. +he result re!e!le" in +!ble ' th!t the intern!! r!te o2 return 2or the m!chine w!s 44G which is much higher th!n the interest p!i" on s!lling b5 commerci!l b!n<s. +his !mount is !so b5 2!r gre!ter th!n the lo!n interest r!te (1'G) le!ie" b5 2in!nci!! institutions like )romi! /re"it !n" %!lling -ssoci!tion (6 -CK)) !t ! time this stu"5 w!s con"ucting.

##### 4.5. Need Assessment for Willing to Use Asella Model-III Multi-crop Thresher

%imple !ssessment w!s con"ucte" on 2!rmers who !tten"e" "uring e!llu!tion o2 the m!chine !t Cemu-8ilbilo "istrict !n"others who were not there "uring e!llu!tion but <nows !bout -sell! multi-crop thresher both in ;etos! !n" Cemu-bilbilo through @uestionn!ires were 2ille" to 2in" i2 the5 were willing to use the m!chine !n" i2 the5 !re willing, the mo"e o2 owning the m!chine. -ccor"ing!5, !ll the p!rticip!nts were willing to use the m!chine either through bu5ing in group or through renting. \*espon"ents 2rom ;etos! "istrict pre2erre" the m!chine !s !n option !n" 2or some poc<et !n" in!ccessible plots while those in Cemu-bilbilo "istrict, the m!chine un"er e!llu!tion w!s the on!5 choice to mech!ni7e their 2!rm !t current situ!tion.

Group 28G (34 out of 120) of farmers were willing to buy the machine in group while Group 4G (4 out of 5) of them were willing to use in rental basis. Group 3G were willing to buy the machine in rental basis.

## 5. Conclusion

For this research, it was generated in two ways: namely, the type of economic evaluation of the three threshing mechanisms (combine harvester, engine-driven multi-crop thresher, and manual threshing). Overall, the result of the research that in Group 1, the most reliable mechanism is the enterprise specific in the local area. From the results, the combine harvester is the most accessible in the area. In Group 2, more than 80 percent of the households were using combine harvester. For those accessible areas, the most common use of the threshing mechanism was manual sickling. The manual threshing mechanism was the most popular among the ministers of agriculture. The center released its model thresher which has a performance of 3.53 t/hour threshing capacity and 88.4 percent efficiency. Most of the threshing were 228, 44, and 8304. For combine harvester, engine-driven thresher, manual harvester mechanisms while the net income after 15 days costs were 15, 24, and 115, respectively. The profitability for engine-driven threshing machine was calculated to be two times higher. The thresher has a significant effect on straw chopping which facilitates its profitability for manual

*Appendix III. Machine and Engine Owing Cost Calculation*

Machine	purchase prices (P)	Average life(h)	Average used time/Annum	Salvage value (10%P)	Average Investment cost	Depreciation cost	Insurance	Interest cost
+hresher	2=,000	2000	200	2=00	30450	13.05	0	=.135
.ngine	18000	2000	200	1800	=00	8.1	0	2.= '
+ot!l	4 ',000	4,000	400	4, '00	40,350	21	0	12

Machine	TFC	TFC excluding interest	Repair & maintenance cost as % of purchase price	Repair and maintenance cost	TVC	TC Br/hr	TC excluding interest
+hresher	22.1=	13.05	50G	' .25	' .25	2=,44	20.3
.ngine	11.0 '	8.1	50G	4.5	4.5	15.5 '	' .4 '
+ot!l	33	21	1	12	12	45	28